Development of guidelines for mitigating the health effects of Desert Dust Storms in asthmatic children

Preliminary results of the LIFE MEDEA study

MITIGATING THE HEALTH EFFECTS OF DESERT DUST STORMS USING EXPOSURE-REDUCTION APPROACHES- THE MEDEA STUDY

Panayiotis Kouis, PhD Respiratory Physiology Laboratory Medical School – University of Cyprus









University of Cyprus Respiratory Physiology Laboratory



Desert Dust Storms in the Mediterranean region



Pey et al., 2013



LIFE MEDEA



Project Aim

The LIFE MEDEA primary aim is to provide the necessary evidence, <u>develop a strategic plan for mitigating the health</u> <u>effects of Desert Dust Storms through exposure reduction</u> <u>approaches</u> and inform accordingly EU decision centres.

Towards this aim, the LIFE MEDEA project takes place in three desert dust storm affected areas (Cyprus, Crete and Israel) and the following specific objectives were specified ->



LIFE MEDEA project Special Objectives

- Demonstrate that is possible to employ <u>models for early Desert Dust</u> <u>Storm event forecasting and early public notification</u>, with a focus on susceptible individuals.
- 2. Design <u>applicable and viable guidelines</u> for exposure reduction to be implemented during Desert Dust events.
- 3. Provide evidence of the feasibility and effectiveness of these guidelines in reducing exposure and mitigating the health effects of desert dust storms in groups of <u>Atrial Fibrillation (heart disease)</u> and <u>children with Asthma</u>.
- 4. Effectively disseminate the project results among <u>competent authorities</u>, <u>scientific communities</u>, <u>social stakeholders and the general public</u> in Cyprus, Crete and Israel as well as selected stakeholders <u>in other Desert</u> <u>Dust Exposed regions of south-eastern Europe</u>.



MEDEA asthma panel study

Inclusion criteria:

- Children with active asthma from primary schools in Nicosia/Limassol (Cyprus) and Heraklion (Crete)
- 6-11 years old
- Clinician diagnosis of asthma in combination with:
 - Daily asthma preventive medication
 - Unscheduled clinician visits for asthma during the last 12 months
 - Wheezing episodes during the last 12 months

Year of study

- 2019
- 2020 Year COVID-19
- 2021

Participants randomised in 1:1:1 ratio in three parallel intervention legs:

- α) No intervention (controls)
- β) Outdoor intervention only
- γ) Outdoor and indoor intervention (combined intervention)





Exposure reduction guidelines

Applicable and viable guidelines for exposure reduction during DDS:

- Limit time spend outdoors
- Limit physical activity
- Reduce exposure in indoor environment
 - Minimise ventilation
 - Use of indoor air cleaning devices
 - Homes and classrooms
 - Filter and remove suspended particles, other pollutants, microbes and odours (HEPA filters)







Recruitment of asthmatic children

- 1° year of study (2019)
- 91 children participated (Cyprus: n=39, Crete: n=52)
- 2° year of study (2020)
- 108 children participated (Cyprus: n=53, Crete: n=55)
- Covid-19 pandemic
- 3° year of study (2021)
- 91 children participated (Cyprus: n=50, Crete: n=41)









Assessment of compliance to guidelines (GPS, Accelerometer)



Participants were equipped with smartwatch during the period of February – May 2019/2021, recording:

- Pulse rate
- Physical activity
- Calories
- GPS





	ଦ ୫ 🐳 🎕 ବ୍ରିଲା 55% 🖬 16:46 LIFE MEDEA 🧕 🤶				
05 Iav	06 Iav	09 Iav			
Dup 250 0	ήματα/θεί	ομίδες		ıl.ı	
Wdg 80 60	Ιαλμοί κα	ρδιάς			
6H/	οτηριακή	Πίεση			
\$	~ ✓			÷	

Assessment of clinical parameters Asthmatic children

Clinical parameters were assessed every month during the high DDS period.

Main Outcome:

- Telephone Asthma Control Test (ACT):
 - Validated Greek version
 - Information on asthmatic symptoms during the day and night are collected based on the answers of parents and children

Secondary outcomes:

- Asthma medication
- Unscheduled clinician visits for asthma



If your child's score is 19 or less, it may be a sign that your

child's asthma is not controlled as well as it could be. No matter what the score, bring this test to your doctor to talk

about your child's results.

Childhood Asthma Control Test for children 4 to 11 years.

How to take the Childhood Asthma Control Test

- Step 1 Let your child respond to the first four questions (1 to 4). If your child needs help reading or understanding the question, you may help, but let your child select the response. Complete the remaining three questions (5 to 7) on your own and without letting your child's response influence your answers. There are no right or wrong answers.
- Step 2 Write the number of each answer in the score box provided.
- Step 3 Add up each score box for the total.
 - Take the test to the doctor to talk about your child's total score.

Have your child complete these questions.





Participating asthmatic children

In total 182 participants (excluding year 2020)

- Cyprus: 89 participants
- Crete: 93 participants

		Intervention G		
Study area	Control Outdoor Combined intervention intervention		Total number of participants	
Cyprus	34	24	31	89
Greece	33	25	35	93
Total	67	49	66	182



Characteristics of asthmatic children

		All participants (n=89)	Controls (n=34)	Outdoor intervention (n=24)	Combined intervention (n=31)	Statistical significance
Male (%)		60/89 (67.4%)	21/34 (61.8%)	15/24 (62.5%)	24/31 (77.4%)	0.338
Age		9.63 (1.58)	9.69 (1.68)	9.57 (1.48)	9.60 (1.59)	0.964
Weight*		35.90 (20.0-99.9)	36.1 (20.0-96.0)	38.65 (20.6-84.0)	31.7 (21.5-99.9)	0.232
Height		138 (113.4-169.0)	140.0 (117.0-165.5)	139.75 (113.4-168.6)	136.75 (120.0-169.0)	0.546
BMI		18.56 (13.14-35.05)	18.60 (14.27-35.05)	20.11 (13.14-29.55)	17.20 (13.43-34.98)	0.132
% Atopic		45/77 (58.4%)	19/31 (61.3%)	12/19 (63.2%)	14/27 (51.9%)	0.684
Asthma severity	Severity 1:	38/89 (42.7%)	20/34 (58.8%)	9/24 (37.5%)	9/31 (29%)	
	Severity 2:	42/89 (47.2%)	12/34 (35.3%)	13/24 (54.2%)	17/31 (54.8%)	0.135
	Severity 3:	9/89 (10.1%)	2/34 (5.9%)	2/24 (8.3%)	5/31 (16.1%)	



Compliance with guidelines During the schooldays







NON DUST SCHOOL DAYS DUST SCHOOL DAYS PERCENTAGE INCREASE/DECREASE



Compliance with guidelines with guidelines outside areas (except school hours)





Asthma Control Test in MEDEA intervention groups



Assessment of Asthma Symptoms



Statistical model (linear mixed model) adjusted for age, gender, BMI, asthma severity and study year)

Parameter	Intervention	Intercept	Statistical significance	Coefficient	Statistical significance
ACT	Controls	24.5 (21.7 -27.0)	-	-0.18	-
Score	Any intervention	24.6 (20.4-27.0)	0.840	0.62	0.019



Among atopic and non-atopic asthmatic children

Parameter	Intervention	Intercept	Statistical significance	Coefficient	Statistical significance
ACT Score <mark>(Atopic)</mark>	Controls	24.8 (21.1 -27.0)	-	-0.57	-
	Any intervention	24.4 (18.7-27.0)	0.658	1.14	0.001

Parameter	Intervention	Intercept	Statistical significance	Coefficient	Statistical significance
ACT Score	Controls	23.2 (18.3 -27.0)	-	0.51	-
(Non - atopic)	Any intervention	24.0 (16.7-27.0)	0.503	-0.07	0.876

Statistical model (linear mixed model) adjusted for age, gender, BMI, asthma severity and study year)



Statistical model (linear mixed model) adjusted for age, gender, BMI, asthma severity and study year)

Parameter	Intervention	Intercept	Statistical significance	Coefficient	Statistical significance
ACT Score	Controls	24.4 (21.7 -27.0)	-	-0.18	-
	Outdoor intervention	25.1 (20.7-27.0)	0.397	0.35	0.274
	Combined intervention	24.2 (18.9-27.0)	0.744	0.84	0.005



Impact of MEDEA intervention on likelihood on likelihood of unscheduled clinician visits for asthma

Unscheduled clinician visits in MEDEA intervention groups





Impact of MEDEA intervention on likelihood of reported respiratory infection





Take home messages

Asthma panel study completed in Cyprus

- In Crete, completion is expected in December 2021.
- Partial compliance of asthmatic children to exposure reduction guidelines.
- Compared to controls, children participating in intervention groups demonstrated:
 - Clinically and statistically significant improvement in asthma symptom control (ACT test).
 - Improvement, primarily observed in children with atopy and in children undergoing in the combined intervention group (guidelines and air cleaner)
 - Evidence of reduction in respiratory infections
 - Evidence of reduction in the likelihood of unscheduled clinician visits.



Special thanks

LIFE MEDEA research team – U of Cyprus – Cyprus U of Technology - Harvard

- Panayiotis Yiallouros
- Paraskevi Kinni
- Antonis Michanicou
- Georgios Nikolopoulos
- Konstantinos Pitsios

- Pinelopi Anagnostopoulou
- Nicos Middleton
- Souzana Achilleos
- Stefania Papatheodorou
- Petros Koutrakis

LIFE MEDEA literature:

- https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-020-02472-4
- https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-85358-4
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136693

Funding: LIFE MEDEA Grant Agreement <u>LIFE16CCA/CY/000041</u>

